

RAG IS OVERRATED

Here's What You Actually Need

 **CONTRARIAN TAKE**

Get `community.nachiketh.in` and

at:

THE TEST

Can you solve your problem with:

Structured
Few-Shot
Examples

Chain-of-Thought
Prompting

Function Calling
+
Clear Schemas

**IF YES → YOU DON'T
NEED RAG**

Get **source code** and **production patterns** at:
community.nachiketh.in

RAG ADDS...



INFRASTRUCTURE COMPLEXITY

Vector databases, embedding pipelines, deployment overhead



DATABASE COSTS

Pinecone, Weaviate, Qdrant - \$50-500/month minimum



CHUNKING OVERHEAD

Splitting strategies, overlap logic, metadata management



RETRIEVAL LATENCY

Additional 200-500ms per request for embedding + search

Get **source code** and **production patterns** at:
community.nachiketh.in

DECISION FRAMEWORK

1

Can it fit in the prompt? (<8k tokens)

YES → Use prompt engineering. Stop.

SIMPLE

2

Is the knowledge static?

YES → Consider fine-tuning

SIMPLE

3

Does it change frequently?

YES → NOW you need RAG

RAG

Get **source code** and **production patterns** at:
community.nachiketh.in



WHEN TO ACTUALLY USE RAG



Knowledge base is **LARGE** (>100k tokens)

Example: Product documentation, legal corpus, research papers



Information changes **FREQUENTLY**

Example: News, pricing, inventory, policy updates



Context must be **EXTERNAL** to model

Example: User-specific data, company-internal docs, compliance

Get **source code** and **production patterns** at:
community.nachiketh.in

START SIMPLE.

ADD COMPLEXITY ONLY WHEN FORCED.



JOIN COMMUNITY
community.nachiketh.in



LEARN PRODUCTION SYSTEMS
bootcamp.nachiketh.in

Get **source code** and **production patterns** at:
community.nachiketh.in

Speaker notes